UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO

United States of America,) Case No. CR /2-0680 RS
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)
Maurice Bell	Case No. CR /2-0680 R5 STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT NOV 14 NORTHER 100 W
Defendant.	NON. 14 , 2012, the Court excludes time with the
Speedy Trial Act from Nov. $[4]$, 2012 to $]$	e public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to exp	x, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact proceedings or the trial need by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	d deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, lue diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	d unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	d unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: Nov. 12012	LAUREL REELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney